

BLM Eagle Lake Field Office
<p>Comments submitted by the OHMVR Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.</p> <p>All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).</p> <p>Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.</p>
General Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #4 – Selection is inconsistent with Division records. Applicant may want to verify.</li> <li>• #8b – Narrative does not support <u>onsite</u> education efforts.</li> <li>• #8d – Narrative does not support response.</li> </ul>

Acquisition	G10-01-08-A01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A – Last paragraph does not correspond with Project Cost Estimate. Applicant may want to verify.</li> </ul>	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff (Other) – Applicant may want to provide additional information on line item.</li> </ul>	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2b - Applicant may want to provide a reference document.</li> <li>• #3 - Narrative does not support the response.</li> </ul>	

Development – Fort Sage SRMA	G10-01-08-D01
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• B, C – Reference to parking area should be addressed in 'A'.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2b,c – Applicant may want to provide a reference document.</li> <li>• #6 – Narrative does not support response.</li> <li>• #7 – Selection appears to be incorrect. Applicant may want to select 'improves support facilities'.</li> <li>• #10 – Narrative does not support response. Applicant may want to explain who partners listed will participate in the project.</li> <li>• #13 – Applicant may want to provide a reference document.</li> </ul>	

Ground Operations – Fort Sage SRMA	G10-01-08-G01
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• B – Educational talks are not a Ground Operations activity. Applicant should explain whether access road is open to OHV recreation. Ground Operations funding may only be used on facilities that allow OHV use.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #1 – Staff "Other – BLM Staff"- Applicant may want to provide additional information on this position.</li> <li>• #4 – Equipment Use Expense, "Other – BLM Vehicle Mileage"- Applicant may want to explain the different rates for vehicles.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #6 – The project does not appear to be providing a bridge instead of wet crossings.</li> <li>• #7 – Maps do not appear to be part of the project.</li> <li>• #8 – Narrative does not support response.</li> </ul>	

Restoration	G10-01-08-R01
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A – As described, “monitor” and “install signing on designated routes..” activities are not eligible under planning for a restoration project.</li> <li>• F – Narrative not required unless project involves scientific and cultural studies.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #1 – Staff- Applicant may want to provide an explanation on how “Law Enforcement Officer” would be utilized in a project which appears to involve only planning for restoration.</li> <li>• #3 – Materials and Supplies- “Route Markers” and “Marker Decals” are not eligible for a restoration project. Applicant may want to adjust as necessary.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2 – Project appears to involve only planning for restoration and would not support checked items.</li> <li>• #3 – Reason for the project appears to be “Planning efforts...”.</li> <li>• #4 – Project appears to involve only planning for restoration and does not support selections.</li> <li>• #6 – “Reference document” narrative conflicts with selection. Applicant may want to provide a reference document.</li> <li>• #8 – It is unclear how a partner is related to a project involving only planning for restoration.</li> <li>• #9 – Project does not appear to include scientific and cultural studies.</li> <li>• #11 – Project activities do not indicate that any areas will be restored in scope of this project.</li> </ul>	

Law Enforcement	G10-01-08-L01
Needs Assessment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>	
Project Certification	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>#3 – ‘Notes’ comment does not support ‘Signs’ line item cost.</li> <li>#6 – Applicant may want to explain how ‘OHV area cleanup’ relates to OHV law enforcement.</li> </ul>	